Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/843,036	TRAPANI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Quoc A. Tran	2176
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Quoc A. Tran (USPTO).	(3) George Lee (Attorney).	
(2) Joseph A. Herndon (Attorney).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 20 October 2008	Time: <u>10AM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Yes		
Claims discussed: 1-19, 23-35, 37-47		
Prior art documents discussed: Bickmore; Hirsch; Chen and Hanson		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENITHME Examiner is invited to contract the undersigned for any reagrees to the following: In the claims, Cancelling claims 16-40 spanning Pages 57 and 58 to satisfy the 101 requirements. The with the substance of the telephone interview. Favorable consideraged upon.	ason related to the advancement of and 45-47 and In the Specification a e claims and the Specifications hav	f this case. Applicant's Attorney amending the paragraph e been amended in accordance
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand 	he examiner will provide a writter record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Quoc A. Tran/ Examiner, Art Unit 2176	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	tive Signature – if appropriate)